**NOTICE:** This is an unofficial transcript of the portion of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's Standing Advisory Group meeting on November 12, 2015 that relates to the Board's Concept Release on Audit Quality Indicators. The other topics discussed during the November 12, 2015 meeting are not included in this transcript excerpt.

The Public Company Accounting Oversight Board does not certify the accuracy of this unofficial transcript, which may contain typographical or other errors or omissions. An archive of the webcast of the entire meeting can be found on the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's website at: <u>http://pcaobus.org/News/Events/Pages/Nov\_2015\_SAG.aspx</u>

## PUBLIC COMPANY ACCOUNTING OVERSIGHT BOARD

+ + + + +

## STANDING ADVISORY GROUP

+ + + + +

### MEETING

+ + + + +

## THURSDAY NOVEMBER 12, 2015

+ + + + +

The Advisory Group met in the Academy Hall within the offices of FHI 360, located at 1825 Connecticut Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C., at 8:30 a.m., James R. Doty, Chairman, presiding.

### PCAOB BOARD OF DIRECTORS

JAMES R. DOTY, Chairman LEWIS H. FERGUSON, Board Member JEANETTE M. FRANZEL, Board Member JAY D. HANSON, Board Member STEVEN B. HARRIS, Board Member

# STANDING ADVISORY GROUP

MARTIN F. BAUMANN, PCAOB, Chief Auditor and
Director of Professional Standards
JOAN C. AMBLE, President, JCA Consulting, LLC
THE HONORABLE RICHARD C. BREEDEN, Chairman and
CEO, Breeden Capital Management, LLC
PETER C. CLAPMAN, Senior Advisor, CamberView
Partners, LLC
WALTON T. CONN, JR., U.S. Partner and Global Head
of Audit Methodology and Implementation,
KPMG LLP
WALLACE R. COONEY, Vice President - Finance and
Chief Accounting Officer, Graham Holdings
Company
JAMES D. COX, Brainerd Currie Professor of Law,
School of Law, Duke University
CHARLES M. ELSON, Edgar S. Wollard, Jr., Chair of
Corporate Governance, and Director, John
L. Weinberg Center for Corporate
Governance, University of Delaware
MICHAEL J. GALLAGHER, Managing Partner,
Assurance Quality, PricewaterhouseCoopers
SYDNEY K. GARMONG, Partner in Charge, Regulatory
Competency Center, Crowe Horwath LLP
KENNETH A. GOLDMAN, Chief Financial Officer,
Yahoo, Inc.
L. JANE HAMBLEN, Former Chief Legal Counsel, State of Wisconsin Investment Board
ROBERT B. HIRTH, JR., Chairman, Committee of
Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway
Commission (COSO)
PHILIP R. JOHNSON, Former Nonexecutive Director,
Yorkshire Building Society
JOYCE JOSEPH, Principal, Capital Accounting
Advisory and Research, LLC
JEAN M. JOY, Director of Professional Practice
and Director of Financial Institutions
Practice, Wolf & Company, P.C.
GUY R. JUBB, Global Head of Governance and
Stewardship, Standard Life Investments
Ltd.

DAVID A. KANE, Americas Vice Chair, Assurance Professional Practice, Ernst & Young LLP SARA GROOTWASSINK LEWIS, Chief Executive Officer, Lewis Corporate Advisors, LLC JON LUKOMNIK, Executive Director, Investor Responsibility Research Center Institute, and Managing Partner, Sinclair Capital, LLC DOUGLAS L. MAINE, Limited Partner and Senior Advisor, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. MAUREEN F. McNICHOLS, Marriner S. Eccles Professor of Public and Private Management and Professor of Accounting, Stanford University ELIZABETH F. MOONEY, Vice President, The Capital Group Companies, Inc. RICHARD H. MURRAY, Chief Executive Officer, Liability Dynamics Consulting, LLC ZACH OLEKSIUK, Americas Head, Corporate Governance and Responsible Investment, BlackRock JEREMY E. PERLER, Partner and Director of Research, Schilit Forensics SANDRA J. PETERS, Head of Financial Reporting Policy, CFA Institute WILLIAM T. PLATT, Managing Partner, Professional Practice, and Chief Quality Officer, Attest, Deloitte & Touche, LLP GREGORY A. PRATT, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Carpenter Technology Corporation SRIDHAR RAMAMOORTI, Associate Professor of Accounting, School of Accountancy, and Director, Corporate Governance Center, Kennesaw State University BRANDON J. REES, Deputy Director, Office of Investment, AFL-CIO RICHARD W. ROEDEL, Public Company Board Member PHILIP J. SANTARELLI, Partner, Baker Tilly Virchow Krause LLP

THOMAS I. SELLING, President, Grove Technologies, LLC

CHARLES V. SENATORE, Executive Vice President, Head of Regulatory Coordination and Strategy, Fidelity Investments D. SCOTT SHOWALTER, Professor of Practice, Department of Accounting, Poole College of Management, North Carolina State University JEFFREY L. TATE, Chief Audit Executive, The Dow Chemical Company BRIAN D. THELEN, Independent Consultant SIR DAVID P. TWEEDIE, Chairman, International Valuation Standards Council JOHN W. WHITE, Partner, Corporate Department, Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP OBSERVERS GINNY BARKER, Department of Labor BRIAN CROTEAU, Securities and Exchange Commission BOB DACEY, Government Accountability Office HARRISON GREENE, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation MIKE SANTAY, Grant Thornton LARRY SMITH, Financial Accounting Standards Board MEGAN ZIETSMAN, International Accounting and Assurance Standards Board PCAOB STAFF LILLIAN CEYNOWA, Associate Chief Auditor JANE HUTCHENS, Office of Research and Analysis GREGORY J. JONAS, Director, Office of Research and Analysis STEPHEN KROLL, Senior Advisor, Office of Research GREG SCATES, Deputy Chief Auditor EUGENE THERON, Associate Director BARBARA VANICH, Associate Chief Auditor JESSICA WATTS, Associate Chief Auditor GEORGE WILFERT, Deputy Director and Senior Technical Advisor, Office of Research and Analysis KEITH WILSON, Deputy Chief Auditor

1

(...)

MR. KROLL: Thank you, Marty. Good morning. 2 My name is Steve Kroll and I'm a member of the AQI Project 3 The team is especially happy to have this 4 Team. opportunity to meet with the Standing Advisory Group, 5 because of the Group's background with AQIs. It worked 6 through the initial set of about 70 potential AQIs and 7 really helped us shape the project, in May 2013, and 8 considered other aspects of the project in depth at its 9 November 2013 and June 2014 meetings. Like most things, 10 11 these issues don't go away. They're still relevant today.

The discussions that we've planned for today and 12 13 tomorrow come at a very important time for the project. The Board has issued its Concept Release and subsequently 14 15 received the comments summarized in your materials. Interest in AQIs in various forms has continued to grow, 16 both here and abroad. And I'd have to give a nod to the 17 IAASB in that context. The challenge though is deciding 18 19 what to do next.

20 Moving the project forward involves hard 21 questions. Some were raised in the Concept Release itself 22 and others were raised in the comments. Most go to the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

possibility of achieving the release's stated purpose, 1 which was: "creating a potential portfolio of quantitative 2 measures that may provide new insights about how to 3 evaluate the quality of audits and how high-quality audits 4 are achieved." At margin the topics posed for the breakout 5 groups later this morning try to focus these critical 6 questions and we are eager, given the group's experience, 7 to hear its views about those questions and about the 8 project's future direction. 9

The next speaker is my friend George Wilfert, a 10 11 Deputy Director of ORA, who will talk in greater detail about the plans for the discussions. After that, Greq 12 Jonas, whom I think all of you know, the Director of ORA 13 and the leader of the AQI project, will give you an overview 14 15 of the broad areas of agreement and controversy that we Finally, Jane Hutchens, to 16 extracted from the comments. my left, also a team member, will review the assignments 17 and location for the breakout groups. Thank you. 18

MR. WILFERT: Thanks, Steve. First, I'd like to acknowledge that we found the SAG's counsel very helpful in developing our Concept Release. The comment period ended in September and the Board received 47 comments,

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

which, as Steve noted, the staff has summarized in the exhibits to your handouts for your reference during the breakout sessions. We thought it might be beneficial to briefly discuss both the format and the goals of the breakout sessions, which will help set the stage and provide context for the discussion.

7 The format we have planned for you today consists of three breakout sessions addressing different aspects 8 of the Concept Release. Each breakout session has been 9 assigned specific topic and series of questions for 10 11 discussion. The goals we would like to achieve include identifying the areas of general agreement with respect 12 to the topic and questions assigned to each group, 13 obtaining an understanding of the areas of controversy. 14 15 So in this regard, we would very much appreciate SAG members sharing their insights with us so we can help 16 discern the underlying rationale for differing views. 17 In always greatly value ideas 18 addition, we new and 19 recommendations.

Tomorrow morning's debrief session will be presented in two parts. First, we will present a summary of the views from the breakout sessions. The Concept

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Release acknowledged a wide range of ways forward using AQIs to inform and promote audit quality. We would like to devote the second half of tomorrow's discussion to the topic of next steps and request each SAG member to offer a summary recommendation about how the Board should move forward.

Next, I'd like to turn to Greg, who would like to
share the staff's observations regarding the areas of
broad agreement and the issues that may be perceived as
controversial in the comment letters received to date.

11 MR. JONAS: Great, George, thank you. And qood morning, everyone. You know, it's always dangerous to try 12 to summarize the themes from comment letters because 13 they're full of detail, they're full of nuance. To really 14 15 understand them, you need to read all of them. So at the risk of generalizing, let me lead with my chin and 16 summarize what the staff believes to be the central areas, 17 starting with agreement. 18

First of all, we perceive, not exactly unanimous, but an overwhelming majority of folks continue to believe that discussion about AQIs, the AQI project, is a useful thing to be doing and that potentially AQIs could be very

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

useful to informing discussions about audit quality. The
 second area of the, we perceived to be, strong consensus,
 relates to an area of the Concept Release where we kind
 of led with our chin.

And that was, we described what we believe to be 5 the characteristics of а useful AOI. it's 6 That quantitative. That they operate in packs as a portfolio, 7 so we can triangulate on guality. That they require 8 context to be understood, that is, the metric plus context 9 equals insight; the metric alone is unlikely to yield 10 11 insight. That their purpose is to inform discussion and help people ask the right question rather than answering 12 questions themselves. And then, importantly, they are 13 not scorecards, they're not safe harbors, they're not 14 15 benchmarks. That description of what we think a useful AQI is seemed to get a lot of applause in the comment 16 letters. 17

A third area of broad agreement is that audit committees are a key user. That doesn't mean that others may not be key users, but a strong consensus that at least the audit committee is a key user of AQIs. And that in their discussions, the most informative AQIs are likely

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

to be at the engagement level rather than at, say, the firm
level, industry level, office level, some other type of
AQI. So engagement-level AQIs are the focus of audit
committee discussions.

And then a final area of broad agreement is that if AQIs are useful at all, they're likely to be useful to the larger engagements, the more complex work and to the larger firms. It's an open and debatable question about how useful the AQIs are to the smallest engagements and the smaller firms, the so-called scalability question.

11 So if those are the areas of agreement, where are the areas of controversy? Well first, a package of 12 13 controversy relates to the AQIs themselves. As you recall from the Concept Release, we teed up 28 AQIs as potentially 14 15 useful. And we were quite specific in what we thought those might be in order to elicit the most pointed comments 16 during the comment process. 17

And not surprisingly, some of those AQIs were quite controversial. And in particular, it's the ones that kind of broke new ground, the ones that are not commonly thought of or used today. So for example, AQIs about the incentive system or the tone at the top within firms. As I

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

mentioned, the scalability question is controversial. 1 How much testing needs to be done to verify an AQI is 2 somewhat controversial. Everybody agrees testing needs 3 to be done, but some of the letters said, doggone it, you 4 almost need to prove empirically that an AQI is useful to 5 be able to with confidence use it. Others believed that 6 far less testing is needed, even though some testing is 7 needed. 8

9 And then finally, a number of letters said, you 10 know, all these AQIs are potentially very interesting, but 11 what we really want to get to is the professional 12 skepticism, objectivity, independence of the auditor. 13 Can you help us think of some AQIs that would focus the 14 discussion on those areas?

15 Another area of controversy related to the question 16 of the extent to which investors are a key customer of AQIs. The letters we received from investors passionately argued 17 that investors are a key customer of AQIs. And, of course, 18 19 to meet that need, we would need to make AQIs public. Others argued passionately that investors should not be 20 a key customer, direct customer of AQIs, for two broad 21 2.2 One is understanding the AQIs requires context reasons.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

and it would be very difficult to give an investor the appropriate context in which to evaluate the AQIs. And then other letters noted that investors are very busy folks, preoccupied with many, many issues in evaluating companies, and doubted whether investors would seriously pore over a portfolio of AQIs in order to evaluate audit quality.

A third broad area of controversy relates to unintended consequences. Many of the letters warned us, as you have in the past, about unintended consequences. But where the controversy resides is what to do about the unintended consequences. What actions would we take to avoid having to make them? There the letters offered a very diverse array of views.

15 And then the final area of controversy relates to the question of, should AQIs be mandated in some way, 16 raising the issue of, what is the highest and best use of 17 an audit regulator in the AQI process? And here letters 18 19 offered a spectrum of views. Some argued basically, "butt out," that these are early days, what we need is continued 20 experimentation and right now we really don't see a major 21 2.2 role for a regulator.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Others argued passionately that the regulator 1 offered a key role in helping to standardize vocabulary 2 and have consistency of AQIs and to ensure that a robust 3 portfolio of AQIs are discussed, not only the good ones, 4 but the ones where there's some bad and potentially some 5 uqly that's present. And then letters were in-between, 6 offering that perhaps a role of regulation was 7 to encourage, to offer insight, if not coming short of 8 mandating the use of AQIs themselves. 9

10 We offer this summary because we think these themes 11 are going to come out in each of the breakout sessions and we look forward to hearing your views on these important 12 issues, as well as the other ones that were raised in the 13 materials for today's meeting. So with that, let me turn 14 15 it to Jane, who will discuss a little more detail about the breakout sessions today. 16 Jane?

MS. HUTCHENS: Thanks, Greg. Our breakout group sessions this morning will all concern the content and possible uses of a group of potential Audit Quality Indicators. On the back of everyone's name tag includes a number: 1, 2, or 3, so that everyone is aware of which breakout session they will be participating in. The rooms

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

are located upstairs, up the stairs to the right here.

1

Group 1 will meet in Room C, so in case it says Room C or Room 1, that will be our Group 1. Group 2 will meet in Room D and will discuss the use of AQIs specifically by audit committee members. Group 1, I don't think I mentioned, will also discuss the AQIs and the content themselves. Group 3 will meet in Room E and will discuss the use of AQIs by investors.

And then as George mentioned earlier, tomorrow 9 morning we will have an 8:30 a.m. debrief discussion. 10 The 11 first part of that discussion will include review of what was discussed during the breakout sessions and we will seek 12 comment from the other groups on each topic. We will also 13 go round-robin around the table and provide every member 14 15 an opportunity to provide us a brief comment regarding what you believe the next step should be for the project. 16

On each of the breakout groups, there will be a facilitator to moderate the discussion, as listed in the top row of the line there, Eugene, Greg and Marty. The bottom line there, George, Steve and myself, will serve as an AQI team member in each of the breakout sessions. We will also have in each of the breakout sessions at least

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

15

1

one Board member participating in the discussions.

Since we reordered the format this morning, Jim 2 3 will speak after us, before the breakout sessions. There will be a 30-minute break before the breakout sessions 4 begin. The breakout sessions are scheduled to begin 5 promptly at 10:30 and then will end at 12:15 for lunch. 6 7 Does anyone have questions about the format or any observations on the breakout sessions that they'd like to 8 ask? Okay. We'll turn it over to the Chairman now. 9 (...) 10

16