NOTICE: This is an unofficial transcript of the portion of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's Standards and Emerging Issues Advisory Group meeting on May 9, 2024 that relates to the proposed rule, Firm Reporting. The other topics discussed during the May 9, 2024 meeting are not included in this transcript excerpt. The Public Company Accounting Oversight Board does not certify the accuracy of this unofficial transcript, which may contain typographical or other errors or omissions. An archive of the webcast of the entire meeting can be found on the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's website at: <u>https://pcaobus.org/news-events/events/eventdetails/pcaob-standards-and-emerging-issues-advisory-group-meeting-may-2024</u> PUBLIC COMPANY ACCOUNTING OVERSIGHT BOARD

+ + + + +

STANDARDS AND EMERGING ISSUES ADVISORY GROUP

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY MAY 9, 2024

+ + + + +

The Advisory Group met at the City Club, 555 13th Street, NW, Washington, D.C., at 9:00 a.m., Erica Y. Williams, Chair, presiding.

PCAOB BOARD OF DIRECTORS

ERICA Y. WILLIAMS, Chair GEORGE R. BOTIC, Board Member CHRISTINA HO, Board Member KARA M. STEIN, Board Member ANTHONY C. THOMPSON, Board Member

STANDARDS AND EMERGING ISSUES ADVISORY GROUP

PREETI CHOUDHARY, Ph.D. BRIAN T. CROTEAU, CPA CHRISTINE DAVINE, CPA RON EDMONDS, CPA DAVID FABRICANT, CPA MARGARET FORAN COLLEEN THERESA HONISBERG, Ph.D. JAMES (JIM) HUNT, CPA JENNIFER R. JOE, Ph.D., CPA SARA LORD, CPA JON LUKOMNIK JEFFREY P. MAHONEY, J.D., CPA CAROLE MCNEES, CPA STEVEN MORRISON, CPA, CFF, CFE DANE MOTT, CFA, CPA CHRISTIAN JAMES PEO, CPA SANDRA J. PETERS, CPA, CFA KECIA WILLIAMS-SMITH, Ph.D., CPA JOHN WHITE

OBSERVERS

- COLLEEN CONRAD, National Association of State Boards of Accountancy
- SUSAN COSPER, Financial Accounting Standards Board
- ANITA DOUTT, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
- BRIAN WILSON, International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board

PCAOB STAFF

BARBARA VANICH, Chief Auditor ANDREW CLEVE, Assistant Chief Auditor BRIAN DEGANO, Associate Chief Auditor NICK GRILLO, Assistant Chief Auditor STEPHANIE HUNTER, Associate Chief Auditor CONNOR RASO, Acting Deputy General Counsel MATT SICKMILLER, Assistant Chief Auditor JESSICA WATTS, Associate Chief Auditor

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Welcome and Introductions	•	•	. 5
Standard Setting Update	•	•	.12
Firm and Engagement Performance Metrics Proposal Overview		•	.15
Fraud Panel Presentation and Discussion - Part 1	•	•	.39
Fraud Panel Presentation and Discussion - Part 2	•	•	123
Introduction to Fraud Breakout Sessions	•	•	171
Non-Public: Breakout Sessions on Key Fraud Topics & Lunch		•	175
Recap of Breakout Sessions	•	•	175
Consideration of the Internal Audit Function	•		197
SEIAG Chair's Wrap-Up	•	•	220

1 proposal provides that the optional narrative 2 disclosure would be no more than 500 characters 3 per metric.

And we look forward to receiving your comments on this proposal by Friday, June 7th.

And now I'd like to turn this over toConnor from the Office of the General Counsel.

8 MR. RASO: Thank you, Stephanie, and 9 good morning, everybody.

I will give a brief overview. I will try and shorten it up a little bit to get us on schedule of the firm reporting proposal that the Board issued on the same day as the FEMs proposal that Stephanie gave you an overview of.

And it's just a sort of initial framing matter. The goal of this proposal is to help enhance public transparency with respect to audit firms and also to improve the PCAOB's oversight by getting more complete, more standardized, and more timely reporting by audit firms.

22

4

5

So this is the overall goal. And

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 21

1 really where this fits in with the Board's 2 existing framework is to supplement, as you know, 3 Form 2, which is the Board's annual reporting form, and Form 3, which is the special events 4 reporting. And so enhancing Forms 2 and 3. 5 I would just note as sort of an 6 7 initial matter before I give a brief overview of 8 the additional reporting that some of the 9 reporting would be public, and some would be 10 confidential. And so in thinking about the 11 proposal and what would be public, what would be non-public the Board thought about the public 12 13 interest in accessing information, essentially 14 which of the information would be sensitive, the 15 Board's obligations of course under Sarbanes-16 Oxley, and we welcome comments on this balance 17 between public and non-public reporting. 18 Now I'll just walk through the five

19 elements of the reporting quickly. First is firm 20 reporting of financial information. And with 21 this one, think of two broad buckets of 22 reporting. First is enhanced reporting of firm

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1 fees. And this would apply to all firms. As you 2 know, Form 2 currently requires firms to report total fees that were billed to issuer clients for 3 certain categories, various categories as a 4 5 percentage of total fees. Percentage. And what this would do is it would get rid of percentage 6 7 reporting and replace it instead with actual 8 dollar amounts, which we think would be more 9 transparent and more useful.

10 The second change in this area would 11 require more complete and further disaggregation 12 of fee information. So a little bit more 13 granular detail on fee information from the 14 firms.

15 The second bucket of reporting would 16 only apply to the largest firms, and the proposal 17 walks through the definition there. And this 18 would be the largest firms would give the PCAOB 19 their annual financial statements on a 20 confidential, non-public basis. And these 21 financial statements, the firms would report in 22 accordance with the applicable financial

reporting framework in the firm's jurisdiction and would not need to be audited. So that would be really helping the PCAOB with its oversight. So that's sort of the first category of additional reporting.

The second one is information about 6 7 the firm's networks, and so it would require a 8 more detailed -- on Form 2 a more detailed public 9 description of any network arrangement that the 10 firm is a part of and would include information 11 like the legal ownership structure of the network, financial obligations, information 12 sharing agreements, et cetera. 13 So, more 14 information about the network.

15 Bucket three is enhancements to Form 16 3, which is special reporting. One proposed 17 change would be to shorten the time frame for 18 special reporting from 30 days down to 14 days, 19 or more promptly as warranted. And there would 20 be a new special reporting obligation for any 21 event or matter that poses a material risk or a 22 material change to the firm's organization,

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

operations, liquidity, or financial resources, or provision of audit services. And one of the things the proposal notes is that some firms provide this sort of information on an ad hoc basic to the PCAOB and so this would be part of Form 3. And this would be non-public reporting. It would be confidential to the PCAOB helping with the Board's oversight. So that's the third category.

10 The fourth category is cybersecurity. 11 And that is not a surprise. The last time that the Form 2 and Form 3 were significantly updated 12 13 was in 2008/2009. Cybersecurity of course is a 14 much bigger issue than it was in that time 15 period. And so here, too, there are sort of two 16 categories of reporting. One is a new special 17 reporting requirement for cybersecurity 18 incidents, and the release defines that. And 19 those would go on Form 3. And again, those would 20 be reported confidentially within five business 21 days to the PCAOB, but not public. So those are 22 cybersecurity incidents that the firm

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

experiences.

1

2	And then the second is a public report
3	with a brief description of the firm's policies
4	and procedures with respect to managing
5	cybersecurity risks. And that would be publicly
6	reported if the firm had such policies and
7	procedures.
8	And we are near the home stretch. The
9	final reporting item relates to the quality
10	control proposal, which, as Barb mentioned, the
11	Board will be considering next week. Currently,
12	applicants for registration provide on Form 1 a
13	narrative description of their quality control
14	policies and procedures. And the Board proposed
15	to create a requirement for firms to update these
16	quality control policies and procedures; this is
17	a one-time update, if the Board were to adopt QC-
18	1000. And so there would be an updated statement
19	that would be done pursuant to the QC
20	requirements.
21	So that's sort of the high-level
22	summary of the proposal and we think that again

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1 this would help the Board with its regulatory 2 It would help investors, audit mission. 3 committees, and other stakeholders. And the comment period, again, closes on June 7th, so we 4 encourage written comments and engagement. 5 And thank you and we welcome your thoughts. 6 7 Thank you, Connor and MS. VANICH: 8 Stephanie. I know we're running at time, but I 9 do want to pause to see if anyone had any 10 comments or questions. 11 Ron? 12 MR. EDMONDS: Yes, thank you very 13 Maybe just a couple things on everything much. 14 that's been covered so far, and maybe something 15 that hasn't in detail. 16 So one, I know on your NOCLAR 17 proposal, I appreciate that you had the 18 roundtable and I appreciated the opportunity to 19 participate in that. I know that you received a lot of feedback in comment letters and at the 20 21 roundtable on some incredibly complex issues and 22 I wondered if in kind of advancing that -- I know