NOTICE: This is an unofficial transcript of the portion of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's Investor Advisory Group meeting on April 24, 2024 that relates to the proposed rule, Firm Reporting. The other topics discussed during the April 24, 2024 meeting are not included in this transcript excerpt. The Public Company Accounting Oversight Board does not certify the accuracy of this unofficial transcript, which may contain typographical or other errors or omissions. An archive of the webcast of the entire meeting can be found on the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's website at: https://pcaobus.org/news-events/events/event-details/pcaob-investor-advisory-group-meeting-2024

PUBLIC COMPANY ACCOUNTING OVERSIGHT BOARD

+ + + + +

INVESTOR ADVISORY GROUP

+ + + + +

MEETING

WEDNESDAY APRIL 24, 2024

+ + + + +

+ + + + +

The Advisory Group met via Videoconference, at 1:00 p.m. EDT, Saba Qamar and Amy Copeland McGarrity, IAG Co-Chairs, presiding.

PCAOB BOARD OF DIRECTORS

ERICA Y. WILLIAMS, Chair GEORGE BOTIC, Board Member KARA M. STEIN, Board Member ANTHONY C. THOMPSON, Board Member

INVESTOR ADVISORY GROUP

JAMES ANDRUS MARY M. BERSOT, CFA ALICIA DAMLEY, CFA, CPA (Canada), CA DENISE DICKINS, Ph.D., CPA, CIA JONATHAN GRABEL KENNETH GOLDMAN TRACY SOPHIA HARRIS JEFFREY P. MAHONEY, J.D., CPA AMY COPELAND MCGARRITY, CFA PAUL O'BRIEN, Ph.D. SANDRA J. PETERS, CPA, CFA DAVID PITT-WATSON SANFORD (SANDY) RICH GINA SANCHEZ NEMIT SHROFF, Ph.D. LYNN TURNER, CPA GARY G. WALSH, CFA, CPA

PCAOB STAFF

SABA QAMAR, Investor Advocate
CHRISTINE GUNIA, Director, Division of
Registration and Inspections
BARBARA VANICH, Chief Auditor
MARC FRANCIS, Office of General Counsel
STEPHANIE HUNTER, Associate Chief Auditor
VINCE MEEHAN, Office of General Counsel

ALSO PRESENT

EDWARD Y. ABBO, C3.ai KRIS BENNATTI, Hudson Labs WILL BIBLE, Deloitte HAMISH MACALISTER, Transparently.AI VALERI NIKOLAEV, University of Chicago

CONTENTS

| Welcome and Introductions | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | . 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|
| PCAOB Standard-Setting Update | | | | • | • | | | .10 |
| PCAOB Division of Registration and Inspections (DRI) Update | | | | • | • | | | .50 |
| IAG Presentation to the Board on Artificial Intelligence (AI) | | | | • | • | | | 100 |
| IAG Request for Critical Audit Matters (CAMs) | | | | • | | | | 184 |
| TAC Co Chairal Cloging Romanka | | | | | | | | 202 |

1 annual fees, a constructive request for leave to 2 withdraw from registration and to deem the firm's 3 registration withdrawn. And with that I'd like to conclude by 4 5 thanking the IAG again for your comment letter. 6 We're giving it careful consideration as we read 7 letters that we have received. I'd just like to 8 thank you for your engagement and attention 9 today. 10 Stephanie, I'm not sure, should I hand 11 it back to you or what's the next order of 12 things? 13 You can just hand it to MS. VANICH: 14 Marc, Vince. Thank you. 15 MS. QAMAR: Thank you, Barb. 16 MR. MEEHAN: All right, thank you, 17 Marc. 18 MR. FRANCIS: Okay. Thank you, Vince. 19 And good afternoon, everyone. 20 I'd like to provide you with a summary 21 of the key proposed disclosure requirements 22 contained in the firm reporting proposal that was

issued by the Board on April 9th. As an initial matter, the firm reporting proposal is intended to enhance audit firm transparency and improve the PCAOB's oversight of audit firms by eliciting more complete, standardized and timely reporting filing by audit firms.

In formulating the proposal the Board took into account the Staff's experience with the current reporting framework, the final report of the Department of the Treasurer's Advisory

Committee on the auditing profession,

developments in transparency reporting, including increased voluntary transparency reporting and the activities of other regulators, PCAOB advisory group discussions, and increased threats from cybersecurity incidents.

For background, the Board's current reporting framework includes two types of reporting obligations. First, it requires each registered firm to provide basic information once a year about the firm, and the firm's audit practice over the most recent 12 month period.

The firm must do so by filing an annual report on Form 2.

Second, upon the occurrence of specified events, a firm must report certain information by filing a special report on Form 3. The proposed changes would require enhanced reporting that would impact both forms 2 and 3. These new proposed reporting requirements relate to firm financial, governance and network information. More timely and expanded special reporting, new cybersecurity related reporting, and a onetime update to a firm's statement of applicant's quality control policies and procedures in the event that the Board's new quality control standard is adopted and approved.

You may note that throughout the proposal some information is to be reported publicly and some confidentially. In proposing to make certain items public and certain non-public the Board waived public interests in public reporting of this information, the potentially sensitive and developing nature of

certain information requested, and the Board's obligation under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. And we believe the proposal strikes the appropriate balance between public and non-public reporting.

I will now touch on the reporting requirements in a bit more detail. With respect to financial information the proposal includes two primary components. First, the proposal would amend Form 2 to require enhanced information regarding a firm's audit fees. The Form 2 currently requires firms to report annually the totally fees that were built to issuer clients for certain categories of services as a percentage of total fees built.

The proposed changes would eliminate the reporting of percentage information and replace it with actual dollar amounts to be reported publicly. The proposed changes would also require a more complete and further disaggregated fee information.

Second, the proposal would require that the largest firms provide annual financial

2.1

statements to the PCAOB on a confidential basis. The large firms here are defined as though that issue more than 200 audit reports for issuer audit clients and had more than 1,000 personnel during their relevant reporting period. These financial statements will be reported in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework in the firm's jurisdiction and need not be audited.

Next, with respect to network information, the proposal would require firms to report on Form 2 a more detailed public description of any network arrangement of which a registered firm is apart, include describing the legal and ownership structure of the network, network related financial obligations, information sharing arrangements between the network and registered firm, and network governing boards.

Next, with respect to financial report. With respect to special reporting on Form 3, the proposal would shorten the time frame

for special reporting from 30 days to 14 days.

Or more promptly as warranted.

In addition, the proposal would impose a new special reporting obligation for any event or matter that possess a material risk or represents a material change to the firm's organization, operations, liquidity of financial resources or provision of audit services. Such events would include, for example, a determination that there is substantial doubt about the firm's ability to continue as a going concern, any actual or anticipated noncompliance with loan covenants, and a chance in a principal executive officer, among other events.

These items, only those that would be reported under the special reporting obligation, would be reported confidentially to the PCAOB.

Next I will address the proposed cybersecurity amendments, which have two components. First, the Board proposed new special reporting requirements for prompt reporting of significant cybersecurity incidents

as defined the release.

Specifically, the Board proposed to amend Form 3 to require the reporting of significant cybersecurity incidents within five days on a confidential basis. Second, the Board proposed to amend Form 2 to require a brief description of the audit firm's policies and procedures, if any, to identify, assess and management material risks from cybersecurity threats.

This proposed item instructs the audit firm to include whether and how any such policies and procedures have been integrated into the registrants overall risk management system or processes, whether the firm engages assessors, consultants, auditors or other third-parties in relation to cybersecurity risks, and whether the firm has policies and procedures to oversee and identify such risks from cybersecurity threats associated with its use of any third-party service provider.

Last, the Board proposed a reporting

related requirement that has evolved out of the Board's QC 1000 proposal. Currently, applicants for registration are required to furnish, as an exhibit to the Board's Form 1, a narrative summary description of the quality control policies of the applicant for its accounting and auditing practices. A statement of applicant's quality control policies and procedures.

The Board proposed to create a new form update to the statement of applicants quality control policies and procedures Form QCPP to require that if QC 1000 is adopted by the Board, approved by the SEC and becomes effective, than any firm that registered with the Board prior to the date that QC 1000 becomes effective would submit an updated statement of the firm's quality control policies and procedures pursuant to QC 1000.

This concludes our summary of the key elements of the firm reporting proposal. We think the proposed requirements would facilitate informed decision making and risk assessment by

investors, audit committees and other stakeholders. Further, the proposed requirements would generate data and information to support the PCAOB's regulator mission.

Finally, the proposed requirements would make reported information more useful by increasing standardization and comparability.

And by getting useful information to the PCAOB, investors, audit committees and other stakeholders in a more timely manner.

We encourage you to review the proposal in its entirety on the PCAOB's website. We note the comment period is open until June 7th, and we welcome comments on any and all aspects of the proposed reporting requirements. Thank you for your time today.

MS. VANICH: That concludes our prepared remarks. And we'll stay on in case anyone has any questions for a few minutes.

MS. QAMAR: Thank you, Barb. Thank you Stephanie. Does anyone have any questions?

MR. GOLDMAN: This is Ken. I don't