# 2025 Inspection EisnerAmper LLP

(Headquartered in New York, New York)

June 11, 2026

**THIS IS A PUBLIC VERSION OF A PCAOB INSPECTION REPORT**

**PORTIONS OF THE COMPLETE REPORT ARE OMITTED FROM THIS DOCUMENT IN ORDER TO COMPLY WITH SECTIONS 104(g)(2) AND 105(b)(5)(A) OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

**PCAOB RELEASE NO. 104-2026-059**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

2025 Inspection..... 2
Overview of the 2025 Inspection and Historical Data by Inspection Year ..... 3
Part I: Inspection Observations..... 5
Part I.A: Audits with Unsupported Opinions ..... 5
Part I.B: Other Instances of Non-Compliance with PCAOB Standards or Rules ..... 5
Part I.C: Independence..... 6
Part II: Observations Related to Quality Control ..... 7
Appendix A: Firm's Response to the Draft Inspection Report.....A-1

# 2025 INSPECTION

In the 2025 inspection of EisnerAmper LLP, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) assessed the firm's compliance with laws, rules, and professional standards applicable to the audits of issuers.

We selected for review six audits of issuers with fiscal years ending in 2024. For each issuer audit selected, we reviewed a portion of the audit. We also evaluated elements of the firm's system of quality control.

## 2025 Inspection Approach

In selecting issuer audits for review, we use a risk-based method of selection. We make selections based on (1) our internal evaluation of audits we believe have a heightened risk of material misstatement, including those with challenging audit areas, and (2) other risk-based characteristics, including issuer and firm considerations. In certain situations, we may select all of the firm's issuer audits for review.

When we review an audit, we do not review every aspect of the audit. Rather, we generally focus our attention on audit areas we believe to be of greater complexity, areas of greater significance or with a heightened risk of material misstatement to the issuer's financial statements, and areas of recurring deficiencies. We may also select some audit areas for review in a manner designed to incorporate unpredictability.

Our selection of audits for review does not necessarily constitute a representative sample of the firm's total population of issuer audits. Additionally, our inspection findings are specific to the particular portions of the issuer audits reviewed. They are not an assessment of all of the firm's audit work or of all of the audit procedures performed for the audits reviewed.

View the details on the scope of our inspections and our inspections procedures.

# OVERVIEW OF THE 2025 INSPECTION AND HISTORICAL DATA BY INSPECTION YEAR

The following information provides an overview of our 2025 inspection as well as data from the previous inspection. We use a risk-based method to select audits for review and to identify areas on which we focus our review. Because our inspection process evolves over time, it can, and often does, focus on a different mix of audits and audit areas from inspection to inspection and firm to firm. Further, a firm's business, the applicable auditing standards, or other factors can change from the time of one inspection to the next. As a result of these variations, we caution that our inspection results are not necessarily comparable over time or among firms.

## Firm Data and Audits Selected for Review

| | 2025 | 2023 |
|---|---|---|
| **Firm data** | | |
| **Total issuer audit clients in which the firm was the lead/principal auditor** | 58 | 50 |
| **Total engagement partners on issuer audit work**[1] | 23 | 19 |
| **Audits reviewed** | | |
| **Total audits reviewed** | 6 | 5 |
| **Audits in which the firm was the lead/principal auditor** | 6 | 5 |
| **Audits with Part I.A deficiencies** | 0 | 3 |
| **Percentage of audits with Part I.A deficiencies** | 0% | 60% |

[1] The number of engagement partners on issuer audit work represents the total number of firm personnel (not necessarily limited to personnel with an ownership interest) who had primary responsibility for an issuer audit (as defined in AS 1201, *Supervision of the Audit Engagement*) during the twelve-month period preceding the outset of the inspection.

## Audit Areas Most Frequently Reviewed

This table reflects the audit areas we have selected most frequently for review in the 2025 inspection and the previous inspection. For the issuer audits selected for review, we selected these areas because they were generally significant to the issuer's financial statements, may have included complex issues for auditors, and/or involved complex judgments in (1) estimating and auditing the reported value of related accounts and disclosures and (2) implementing and auditing the related controls.

| 2025 | | 2023 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Audit area** | **Audits reviewed** | **Audit area** | **Audits reviewed** |
| **Investment securities** | 3 | **Revenue and related accounts** | 4 |
| **Revenue and related accounts** | 2 | **Inventory** | 2 |
| **Goodwill and intangible assets** | 2 | **Goodwill and intangible assets** | 2 |
| **Allowance for credit losses** | 2 | **Accruals and other liabilities** | 1 |
| **Long-lived assets** | 1 | **Significant transactions** | 1 |

# PART I: INSPECTION OBSERVATIONS

Part I.A of our report discusses deficiencies, if any, that were of such significance that we believe the firm, at the time it issued its audit report(s), had not obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support its opinion(s) on the issuer's financial statements and/or internal control over financial reporting (ICFR).

Part I.B discusses certain deficiencies, if any, that relate to instances of non-compliance with PCAOB standards or rules other than those where the firm had not obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support its opinion(s). This section does not discuss instances of apparent non-compliance with rules related to maintaining independence.

Part I.C discusses instances of apparent non-compliance with rules related to maintaining independence.

Consistent with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("Act"), it is the Board's assessment that nothing in Part I of this report deals with a criticism of, or potential defect in, the firm's quality control system. We discuss any such criticisms or potential defects in Part II. Further, you should not infer from any Part I deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, that we identified a quality control finding in Part II. Section 104(g)(2) of the Act restricts us from publicly disclosing Part II deficiencies unless the firm does not address the criticisms or potential defects to the Board's satisfaction no later than 12 months after the issuance of this report.

# PART I.A: AUDITS WITH UNSUPPORTED OPINIONS

In the 2025 inspection, we did not identify any deficiencies that were of such significance that we believe the firm, at the time it issued its audit report(s), had not obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support its opinion(s) on the issuer's financial statements and/or ICFR.

# PART I.B: OTHER INSTANCES OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH PCAOB STANDARDS OR RULES

This section of our report discusses certain deficiencies that relate to instances of non-compliance with PCAOB standards or rules other than those where the firm had not obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support its opinion(s). This section does not discuss instances of apparent non-compliance with rules related to maintaining independence.

When we review an audit, we do not review every aspect of the audit. As a result, the area below was not necessarily reviewed on every audit. In some cases, we assess the firm's compliance with specific PCAOB standards or rules on other audits that were not reviewed and include any instances of non-compliance below.

We identified the following deficiencies:

In one of six audits reviewed, the firm provided certain attest services to the issuer but did not document that the audit committee had approved the engagements before the issuer engaged the firm to provide the services. In these instances, the firm was non-compliant with AS 1215, *Audit Documentation*.

# PART I.C: INDEPENDENCE

PCAOB Rule 3520, *Auditor Independence*, requires a firm and its personnel to be independent of the firm's audit clients. This requirement encompasses not only an obligation to satisfy the independence criteria set out in PCAOB rules and standards but also an obligation to satisfy all other independence criteria applicable to an engagement, including the independence criteria set out by the SEC in Regulation S-X, 17 C.F.R. § 210.2-01, *Qualifications of Accountants*.

In the 2025 inspection, we did not identify, and the firm did not bring to our attention, any instances of apparent non-compliance with PCAOB Rule 3520. Although this section does not include any instances of apparent non-compliance with PCAOB Rule 3520 that we identified or the firm brought to our attention, there may be instances of non-compliance with rules related to independence that were not identified through our procedures or the firm's monitoring activities.

While the firm did not bring to our attention any instances of apparent non-compliance with PCAOB Rule 3520, the number, large or small, of firm-identified instances of apparent non-compliance may be reflective of the size of the firm, including the number of associated firms; the design and effectiveness of the firm's independence monitoring activities; and the size and/or complexity of the issuers it audits, including the number of affiliates of those issuers. Therefore, we caution against making any comparison of firm-identified instances of apparent non-compliance across firms.

# PART II: OBSERVATIONS RELATED TO QUALITY CONTROL

Part II of our report discusses criticisms of, and potential defects in, the firm's system of quality control.

We include deficiencies in Part II if an analysis of the inspection results, including the results of the reviews of individual audits, indicates that the firm's system of quality control does not provide reasonable assurance that firm personnel will comply with applicable professional standards and requirements. Generally, the report's description of quality control criticisms is based on observations from our inspection procedures.

This report does not reflect changes or improvements to the firm's system of quality control that the firm may have made subsequent to the period covered by our inspection. The Board does consider such changes or improvements in assessing whether the firm has satisfactorily addressed the quality control criticisms or defects no later than 12 months after the issuance of this report.

When we issue our reports, we do not make public criticisms of, and potential defects in, the firm's system of quality control, to the extent any are identified. If a firm does not address to the Board's satisfaction any criticism of, or potential defect in, the firm's system of quality control within 12 months after the issuance of our report, we will make public any such deficiency.

# APPENDIX A: FIRM'S RESPONSE TO THE DRAFT INSPECTION REPORT

Pursuant to Section 104(f) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 7214(f), and PCAOB Rule 4007(a), the firm provided a written response to a draft of this report. Pursuant to Section 104(f) of the Act and PCAOB Rule 4007(b), the firm's response, excluding any portion granted confidential treatment, is attached hereto and made part of this final inspection report.

The Board does not make public any of a firm's comments that address a nonpublic portion of the report unless a firm specifically requests otherwise. In some cases, the result may be that none of a firm's response is made publicly available.

In addition, pursuant to Section 104(f) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 7214(f), and PCAOB Rule 4007(b), if a firm requests, and the Board grants, confidential treatment for any of the firm's comments on a draft report, the Board does not include those comments in the final report. The Board routinely grants confidential treatment, if requested, for any portion of a firm's response that addresses any point in the draft that the Board omits from, or any inaccurate statement in the draft that the Board corrects in, the final report.

Docusign Envelope ID: DC7B618D-BF16-4274-BE6E-D7DB7D932DF7

**EisnerAmper LLP**
11 Grand Central East
733 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10017
**T** 212.949.8700
**F** 212.891.4100
www.eisneramper.com

March 3, 2026

Ms. Christine Gunia
Division of Registration and Inspections
Public Company Accounting Oversight Board
1666 K Street, NW
Washington DC 20006

Re: Firm ID # 274, Response to the Draft Report on the 2025 Inspection of EisnerAmper LLP

Dear Ms. Gunia:

We are pleased to provide our response to the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's ("PCAOB") Draft Report on the 2025 Inspection of EisnerAmper LLP, dated February 9, 2026 ("Draft Report").

We believe that the PCAOB's inspection process serves an important role towards audit quality. It provides insights into areas that we can continue to improve upon in our continuous path of improving audit quality. Those improvements help us to better protect the interests of investors and further the public interest in the preparation of accurate and independent audit reports. We are committed to continuing to work with the PCAOB to strengthen trust in the integrity of our independent audits.

We respect and appreciate the commitment of the PCAOB staff, including its professionalism throughout the inspection process. We have evaluated the matter described in Part I.B of the Draft Report and we have taken actions as appropriate, in accordance with PCAOB standards, to address the matter. These actions are aligned with EisnerAmper's broader quality control framework and our ongoing focus on strengthening engagement performance, monitoring, and accountability.

We appreciate the opportunity to respond to the Draft Report. We are committed to audit quality and look forward to continuing to work with the PCAOB.

Respectfully submitted,

EisnerAmper LLP

Signed by:
Michael Breit
7C6637BBDCAB4CD...
Michael Breit,
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Signed by:
Matthew Maulbeck
458192AD1F25447...
Matthew Maulbeck
Managing Partner

"EisnerAmper" is the brand name under which EisnerAmper LLP and Eisner Advisory Group LLC and its subsidiary entities provide professional services. EisnerAmper LLP and Eisner Advisory Group LLC are independently owned firms that practice in an alternative practice structure in accordance with the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct and applicable law, regulations and professional standards. EisnerAmper LLP is a licensed CPA firm that provides attest services, and Eisner Advisory Group LLC and its subsidiary entities provide tax and business consulting services. Eisner Advisory Group LLC and its subsidiary entities are not licensed CPA firms.