2023 Inspection Grant Thornton Audit Pty Ltd

(Headquartered in Sydney, Australia)

February 22, 2024

THIS IS A PUBLIC VERSION OF A PCAOB INSPECTION REPORT

PORTIONS OF THE COMPLETE REPORT ARE OMITTED FROM THIS DOCUMENT IN ORDER TO COMPLY WITH SECTIONS 104(g)(2) AND 105(b)(5)(A) OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002



TABLE OF CONTENTS

2023 Inspection	2
Overview of the 2023 Inspection and Historical Data by Inspection Year	3
Part I: Inspection Observations	5
Part I.A: Audits with Unsupported Opinions	5
Part I.B: Other Instances of Non-Compliance with PCAOB Standards or Rules	5
Part I.C: Independence	7
Part II: Observations Related to Quality Control	9
Appendix A: Firm's Response to the Draft Inspection Report	A-1

2023 INSPECTION

In the 2023 inspection of Grant Thornton Audit Pty Ltd, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) assessed the firm's compliance with laws, rules, and professional standards applicable to the audits of public companies. Our inspection was conducted in cooperation with the Australian Securities & Investment Commission.

We selected for review three audits of issuers with fiscal years ending in 2022. For each issuer audit selected, we reviewed a portion of the audit. We also evaluated elements of the firm's system of quality control.

2023 Inspection Approach

In selecting issuer audits for review, we use a risk-based method of selection. We make selections based on (1) our internal evaluation of audits we believe have a heightened risk of material misstatement, including those with challenging audit areas, and (2) other risk-based characteristics, including issuer and firm considerations. In certain situations, we may select all of the firm's issuer audits for review.

When we review an audit, we do not review every aspect of the audit. Rather, we generally focus our attention on audit areas we believe to be of greater complexity, areas of greater significance or with a heightened risk of material misstatement to the issuer's financial statements, and areas of recurring deficiencies. We may also select some audit areas for review in a manner designed to incorporate unpredictability.

Our selection of audits for review does not necessarily constitute a representative sample of the firm's total population of issuer audits. Additionally, our inspection findings are specific to the particular portions of the issuer audits reviewed. They are not an assessment of all of the firm's audit work or of all of the audit procedures performed for the audits reviewed.

View the details on the scope of our inspections and our inspections procedures.

OVERVIEW OF THE 2023 INSPECTION AND HISTORICAL DATA BY INSPECTION YEAR

The following information provides an overview of our 2023 inspection as well as data from the previous inspection. We use a risk-based method to select audits for review and to identify areas on which we focus our review. Because our inspection process evolves over time, it can, and often does, focus on a different mix of audits and audit areas from inspection to inspection and firm to firm. Further, a firm's business, the applicable auditing standards, or other factors can change from the time of one inspection to the next. As a result of these variations, we caution that our inspection results are not necessarily comparable over time or among firms.

Firm Data and Audits Selected for Review

	2023	2020		
Firm data				
Total issuer audit clients in which the firm was the principal auditor	6	2		
Total issuer audits in which the firm was not the principal auditor	4	9		
Total engagement partners on issuer audit work ¹	6	5		
Audits reviewed				
Total audits reviewed ²	3	3		
Audits in which the firm was the principal auditor	2	1		
Audits in which the firm was not the principal auditor	1	2		
Integrated audits of financial statements and internal control over financial reporting (ICFR)	0	2		
Audits with Part I.A deficiencies	0	0		
Percentage of audits with Part I.A deficiencies	0%	0%		

¹ The number of engagement partners on issuer audit work represents the total number of firm personnel (not necessarily limited to personnel with an ownership interest) who had primary responsibility for an issuer audit (as defined in AS 1201, *Supervision of the Audit Engagement*) or for the firm's role in an issuer audit during the twelve-month period preceding the outset of the inspection.

² The population from which audits are selected for review includes both audits for which the firm was the principal auditor and those where the firm was not the principal auditor but played a role in the audit.

Audit Areas Most Frequently Reviewed

This table reflects the audit areas we have selected most frequently for review in the 2023 inspection and the previous inspection. For the issuer audits selected for review, we selected these areas because they were generally significant to the issuer's financial statements, may have included complex issues for auditors, and/or involved complex judgments in (1) estimating and auditing the reported value of related accounts and disclosures and (2) implementing and auditing the related controls.

2023		2020	
Audit area	Audits reviewed	Audit area	Audits reviewed
Revenue and related accounts	2	Revenue and related accounts	2
Goodwill and intangible assets	2	Cash and cash equivalents	1
Inventory	1	Inventory	1
Cash and cash equivalents	1	Equity and equity-related transactions	1
Accruals and other liabilities	1	Expenses	1

PART I: INSPECTION OBSERVATIONS

Part I.A of our report discusses deficiencies, if any, that were of such significance that we believe the firm, (1) at the time it issued its audit report(s), had not obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support its opinion(s) on the issuer's financial statements and/or ICFR or (2) in audit(s) in which it was not the principal auditor, had not obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to fulfill the objectives of its role in the audit.

Part I.B discusses certain deficiencies, if any, that relate to instances of non-compliance with PCAOB standards or rules other than those where the firm had not obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support its opinion(s) or fulfill the objectives of its role in the audit(s). This section does not discuss instances of potential non-compliance with SEC rules or instances of non-compliance with PCAOB rules related to maintaining independence.

Part I.C discusses instances of potential non-compliance with SEC rules or instances of non-compliance with PCAOB rules, if any, related to maintaining independence.

Consistent with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("Act"), it is the Board's assessment that nothing in Part I of this report deals with a criticism of, or potential defect in, the firm's quality control system. We discuss any such criticisms or potential defects in Part II. Further, you should not infer from any Part I deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, that we identified a quality control finding in Part II. Section 104(g)(2) of the Act restricts us from publicly disclosing Part II deficiencies unless the firm does not address the criticisms or potential defects to the Board's satisfaction no later than 12 months after the issuance of this report.

PART I.A: AUDITS WITH UNSUPPORTED OPINIONS

In the 2023 inspection, we did not identify any deficiencies that were of such significance that we believe the firm, (1) at the time it issued its audit report(s), had not obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support its opinion on the issuer's financial statements or (2) in audit(s) in which it was not the principal auditor, had not obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to fulfill the objectives of its role in the audit.

PART I.B: OTHER INSTANCES OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH PCAOB STANDARDS OR RULES

This section of our report discusses certain deficiencies that relate to instances of non-compliance with PCAOB standards or rules other than those where the firm had not obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to support its opinion(s) or fulfill the objectives of its role in the audit(s). This section does not discuss instances of potential non-compliance with SEC rules or instances of non-compliance with PCAOB rules related to maintaining independence.

When we review an audit, we do not review every aspect of the audit. As a result, the areas below were not necessarily reviewed on every audit. In some cases, we assess the firm's compliance with specific PCAOB standards or rules on other audits that were not reviewed and include any instances of non-compliance below.

The deficiencies below are presented in numerical order based on the PCAOB standard or rule with which the firm did not comply. We identified the following deficiencies:

- In one of two audits reviewed, the firm's engagement letter with the audit committee included inaccurate descriptions of the objective of the audit and certain of the responsibilities of the auditor. In this instance, the firm was non-compliant with AS 1301, Communications with Audit Committees.
- In one of two audits reviewed, the firm did not make certain required communications to the audit committee related to (1) the significant accounting policies and practices; (2) the critical accounting policies and practices and critical accounting estimates; and (3) the firm's evaluation of the quality of the issuer's financial reporting. In these instances, the firm was non-compliant with AS 1301, Communications with Audit Committees.
- In one of two audits reviewed, the firm did not make a required communication to the audit committee related to the issuer's critical accounting policies. In this instance, the firm was non-compliant with AS 1301, Communications with Audit Committees.
- In one audit, the firm's audit report incorrectly identified certain of the issuer's financial statements. In this instance, the firm was non-compliant with AS 3101, *The Auditor's Report on an Audit of Financial Statements When the Auditor Expresses an Unqualified Opinion*.
- In two audits, the engagement team performed procedures to determine whether or not matters were critical audit matters but, in performing those procedures, did not include certain matters that were communicated to the issuer's audit committee and that related to accounts or disclosures that were material to the financial statements. In these instances, the firm was non-compliant with AS 3101, The Auditor's Report on an Audit of Financial Statements When the Auditor Expresses an Unqualified Opinion. These instances of non-compliance do not necessarily mean that other critical audit matters should have been communicated in the auditor's report.

PART I.C: INDEPENDENCE

This section of our report discusses instances of potential non-compliance with SEC rules or instances of non-compliance with PCAOB rules related to maintaining independence. An instance of potential non-compliance with SEC rules or an instance of non-compliance with PCAOB rules does not necessarily mean that the Board has concluded the firm was not objective and impartial throughout the audit and professional engagement period. Although this section includes instances of potential non-compliance that we identified and the firm brought to our attention, there may be other instances of non-compliance with SEC or PCAOB rules related to independence that were not identified through our procedures or the firm's monitoring activities.

PCAOB-Identified

We identified the following instances of potential non-compliance with SEC rules or instances of non-compliance with PCAOB rules related to maintaining independence:

Under Rule 2-01(c)(7) of Regulation S-X, an accountant is not independent if it does not obtain audit committee pre-approval for audit and non-audit services. In three audits reviewed, we identified three instances across two issuers in which this circumstance appears to have occurred related to audit and certain non-audit services.

Firm-Identified

During the inspection, the firm brought to our attention that it had identified, through its independence monitoring activities, one instance for one issuer,³ in which the firm appeared to have impaired its independence because it may not have complied with Rule 2-01(c) of Regulation S-X and PCAOB Rule 3521 related to maintaining independence. This instance of potential non-compliance involved an associated firm.

While we have not evaluated the underlying reasons for the instances of potential non-compliance, the number, large or small, of firm-identified instances of potential non-compliance may be reflective of the size of the firm, including any associated firms; the design and effectiveness of the firm's independence monitoring activities; and the size and/or complexity of the issuers it audits, including the number of affiliates of those issuers. Therefore, we caution against making any comparison of these firm-identified instances of potential non-compliance across firms.

The instance of potential non-compliance related to non-audit services:

The firm reported one instance of potential non-compliance with Rule 2-01(c)(5) of Regulation S-X and PCAOB Rule 3521 regarding non-audit services that the firm determined to be prohibited. This instance related to a service provided by an associated firm on a contingent fee basis for a company that was an affiliate of an issuer.

³ The firm-identified instances of potential non-compliance do not necessarily relate to the issuer audits that we selected for review.

The firm has reported to us that it has evaluated this instance of potential non-compliance and determined that its objectivity and impartiality were not impaired. The firm also reported to us that it has communicated this instance to the issuer's audit committee in accordance with PCAOB Rule 3526.

PART II: OBSERVATIONS RELATED TO QUALITY CONTROL

Part II of our report discusses criticisms of, and potential defects in, the firm's system of quality control.

We include deficiencies in Part II if an analysis of the inspection results, including the results of the reviews of individual audits, indicates that the firm's system of quality control does not provide reasonable assurance that firm personnel will comply with applicable professional standards and requirements. Generally, the report's description of quality control criticisms is based on observations from our inspection procedures.

This report does not reflect changes or improvements to the firm's system of quality control that the firm may have made subsequent to the period covered by our inspection. The Board does consider such changes or improvements in assessing whether the firm has satisfactorily addressed the quality control criticisms or defects no later than 12 months after the issuance of this report.

When we issue our reports, we do not make public criticisms of, and potential defects in, the firm's system of quality control, to the extent any are identified. If a firm does not address to the Board's satisfaction any criticism of, or potential defect in, the firm's system of quality control within 12 months after the issuance of our report, we will make public any such deficiency.

APPENDIX A: FIRM'S RESPONSE TO THE DRAFT INSPECTION REPORT

Pursuant to Section 104(f) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 7214(f), and PCAOB Rule 4007(a), the firm provided a written response to a draft of this report. Pursuant to Section 104(f) of the Act and PCAOB Rule 4007(b), the firm's response, excluding any portion granted confidential treatment, is attached hereto and made part of this final inspection report.

The Board does not make public any of a firm's comments that address a nonpublic portion of the report unless a firm specifically requests otherwise. In some cases, the result may be that none of a firm's response is made publicly available.

In addition, pursuant to Section 104(f) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 7214(f), and PCAOB Rule 4007(b), if a firm requests, and the Board grants, confidential treatment for any of the firm's comments on a draft report, the Board does not include those comments in the final report. The Board routinely grants confidential treatment, if requested, for any portion of a firm's response that addresses any point in the draft that the Board omits from, or any inaccurate statement in the draft that the Board corrects in, the final report.



Ms Christine Gunia Acting Director Division of Registration and Inspections Public Company Accounting Oversight Board 1666 K Street N.W. Washington, DC 20006 USA

8th January 2024

Grant Thornton Audit Pty Ltd Level 17 383 Kent Street Sydney NSW 2000 Locked Bag Q800 Queen Victoria Building NSW 1230

T +61 2 8297 2400

Dear Ms Gunia:

On behalf of Grant Thornton Audit Pty Ltd (Grant Thornton), we are pleased to provide our response to the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's (the "PCAOB") Draft Report on the 2023 Inspection of Grant Thornton Audit Pty Ltd, principally related to our 2022 audits (the "Draft Report"). Quality is our highest priority at Grant Thornton and we are committed to its continual advancement.

We carefully considered each of the matters identified in Part I of the Draft Report. Consistent with our commitment to quality, we fully support the PCAOB's mission to protect the interests of investors and further the public interest in the preparation of informative, accurate, and independent audit reports.

We are continuously challenging, evaluating, and improving our system of quality control. The PCAOB inspection report and dialogue with the inspections staff continues to be an integral component to our commitment to achieving the highest levels of audit quality. We look forward to continuing our discussions with you and the inspections staff on improving audit quality at our firm and across the profession.

Yours sincerely

GRANT THORNTON AUDIT PTY LTD

Merilyn Gwan

Head of National Assurance Quality

Nicole Bradley

National Managing Partner - Audit & Assurance

www.grantthornton.com.au ACN-130 913 594

Grant Thomton Audit Pty Ltd ACN 130 913 594 a subsidiary or related entity of Grant Thomton Australia Limited ABN 41 127 556 389 ACN 127 556 389. "Grant Thomton refers to the brand under which the Grant Thomton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thomton Australia Limited is a member firm of Grant Thomton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions. In the Australian context only, the use of the term 'Grant Thomton' may refer to Grant Thomton Australia Limited ABN 41 127 556 389 ACN 127 556 389 and its Australian subsidiaries and related entities. Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation.

